

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

INI DES.

IN RE:	§	
	§	
POSITIVE HEALTH MANAGEMENT,	§	Case No. 08-31630-H4-7
INC.	§	
	§	
Debtor.	§	
2 0.0001	8	
RANDY WILLIAMS, CHAPTER 7	§	
TRUSTEE OF POSITIVE HEALTH	§	
MANAGEMENT, INC.	§	Adv. Proceeding. No. 09-03282
	§	<u> </u>
Plaintiff,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
Plaintiff, v.	§	
	§ §	
	§ § §	
v.	\$ \$ \$ \$	
v. PRIORITY 1 HEALTHCARE, LLC,	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
v. PRIORITY 1 HEALTHCARE, LLC, PRIORITY HEALTHCARE LLC,	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
v. PRIORITY 1 HEALTHCARE, LLC, PRIORITY HEALTHCARE LLC, KIMBERLY F. ZIEGLER, CORRECT	***	
v. PRIORITY 1 HEALTHCARE, LLC, PRIORITY HEALTHCARE LLC, KIMBERLY F. ZIEGLER, CORRECT	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	

ORDER DISMISSING (1) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CONTEMPT; AND (2) PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

[Adv. Docket Nos. 2 & 17.]

On November 24, 2009, at 10:30 a.m., a hearing was scheduled to be held on the Plaintiff's Motion for Contempt and the Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction. Yvette M. Mastin appeared for Kirk A. Kennedy, who is counsel of record in this Adversary Proceeding for the Plaintiff. No attorney appeared for the Defendants. Ms. Mastin informed the Court that the parties themselves had agreed to a continuance and submitted a proposed agreed order for this Court to sign. This Court does not allow parties themselves to agree to a continuance. Rather, the parties must timely file a motion for continuance and set forth the reasons that the parties want a continuance.

Case 09-03282 Document 49 Filed in TXSB on 11/24/09 Page 2 of 2

The Court takes this approach so as to be able to control its own docket and so as to ensure that

finality is achieved in disputes before this Court. Here, no motion for continuance was filed, and

counsel of record apparently—and incorrectly—assumed that this Court would grant a continuance.

This, the Court will not do. Because the Court will not grant a continuance, and because Ms. Mastin

was not prepared to prosecute the Plaintiff's Motion and Application, the Court finds that the Motion

and the Application should be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the Plaintiff's Motion for Contempt is dismissed for lack of prosecution; and

it is further

ORDERED that the Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction is dismissed for lack

of prosecution.

Signed on this 24th day of November, 2009.

Jeff Bohm

United States Bankruptcy Judge